



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/024,441	12/18/2001	Ram Gopal Lakshmi Narayanan	005288.00020	3297	
22907	7590 02/23/2005		EXAMINER		
BANNER &	witcoff	MEUCCI, MICHAEL D			
1001 G STRE SUITE 1100	EET N W	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	ON, DC 20001	2142			
		DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/024,44	11	LAKSHMI NARAYANAN, RAM GOPAL				
		Examine		Art Unit				
		Michael D		2142				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by strength of the process of the maximum statutory per received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no even reply within the state riod will apply and w atute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	8 December 2	001.					
·	·_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
*	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.			•			
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have bee ents have bee priority docum reau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-8, drawn to providing legacy application service according to aggregate server access protocol (ASAP) constraints including namebased addressing and load balancing, classified in class 709, subclass 225 (computer network access regulating).
  - II. Claims 9-18, drawn to providing legacy application service according to aggregate server access protocol (ASAP) constraints including name-based addressing and load balancing, and an Endpoint Name Resolution Protocol (ENRP) server which provides a translation service for endpoint clients, classified in class 709, subclass 229 (network resources access controlling).
- Inventions I and II are related as a combination/sub-combination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (A) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (B) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the ENRP server can be used to

Art Unit: 2142

provide translation service to any set of transport addresses pointing to specific groups of network communication endpoints registered under that name. The subcombination has separate utility such as using a name-based addressing model that isolates a logical communications endpoint from its IP address and allowing dynamic system scalability without interrupting service to clients.

Since the combination /subcombination have been shown to be separately usable, it is clear that the inventions operate in distinct manners from each other, and do not require the other to operate properly, as claimed.

- 3. Applicant is requested to formally cancel the non-elected claims.
- 4. A telephone message was left for Bradley C. Wright (Reg. No. 38,061) on 07 February 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement. A response from Mr. Wright was made to the examiner requesting a written restriction.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Meucci at (571) 272-3892. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00 AM to 7:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2142

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Harvey, can be reached at (571) 272-3896. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [michael.meucci@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file.

PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

UPICAL : ECOMINER